



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,895	02/27/2002	Zhongze Bai	998050 PA1	7499

30781 7590 10/07/2005

PHILIP K. YU  
20955 PATHFINDER ROAD  
SUITE 100  
DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765

EXAMINER

DANIEL JR, WILLIE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,895	BAI, ZHONGZE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willie J. Daniel, Jr.	2686	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Willie J. Daniel, Jr.

(3) Philip K. Yu (Reg. #:35,742).

(2) Marsha D. Banks-Harold (SPE).

(4) Zhongze "Gordon" Bai (Inventor).

Date of Interview: 04 October 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Chart which provided applicant's view of differences between the instant application and applied references.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Marsha D Banks-Harold*  
MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Willie J. Daniel Jr.*  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant (Zhongze "Gordon" Bai) was informed that Philip Yu was no longer the attorney of record due to the filing of withdrawal as attorney submitted by Philip Yu. Gordon indicated that Philip's presence for the interview was approved. During the interview, applicant explained the main differences between the instant application and the applied references. The Examiner indicated that the applied references more than adequately meets the claim language of the instant application. Applicant was advised to file a formal response and consider as a guide the Advisory Action options such as an amendment, notice of appeal, or request for continued examination. The Examiner will consider the formal response and respond accordingly.